# BRISTOL CITY COUNCIL AUDIT COMMITTEE

## 10<sup>th</sup> July 2015

Item 12

**Report of: the City Director** 

Report Title: Draft Annual Governance Statement 2014/15

Ward: Citywide

Officer presenting report: Melanie Henchy-McCarthy/ Alison Mullis, Chief

Internal Auditor(J/S)

**Contact Telephone Number: 0117 92 22063/22448** 

#### RECOMMENDATION

The Audit Committee approve the draft Annual Governance Statement as a fair reflection of the internal control and governance environment during 2014/15 and to date, prior to it being finalised and signed by the Mayor, the City Director and the s151 Officer in September 2015.

The updated Action Plan to come back in September to support the final approval of the Statement.

#### SUMMARY

The City Council is required by the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 to prepare an Annual Governance Statement to accompany its published financial statements. A review of the internal control, risk management and governance arrangements has taken place and the resulting draft Statement and action plan is attached to this report.

#### The significant issues in the report are:

- the requirement for the Annual Governance Statement
- the review process undertaken to enable the Statement to be made
- the draft Annual Governance Statement is attached together with an action plan which details the most significant control and governance issues identified both in previous years and during 2014/15 together with the actions for improvement in these areas which have either reached completion or are in progress.

#### **Policy**

Publication of an Annual Governance Statement is a requirement of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015. Additionally, the Council's Risk

Management Policy Statement requires the Audit Committee to review the Annual Governance Statement to ensure it accurately reflects the internal control, risk management and governance arrangements in place.

#### Consultation:

**Internal:** Mayor, Executive Member, Strategic Leadership Team (SLT),

Section 151 Officer, Audit Committee, other relevant officers

(Monitoring Officer, Chief Internal Auditor)

**External:** None necessary

#### 1. Introduction

1.1 Arising from the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015, the Council is required to conduct an annual review of its system of internal control, and publish an Annual Governance Statement (AGS) with the annual Statement of Accounts. The process is a key mechanism for ensuring that the Council has an effective system of internal control and governance, and that any shortfalls are identified and addressed.

- 1.2 The Annual Governance Statement must be a fair reflection of the internal control and governance environment during 2014/15 up to the date of being signed by the Mayor, City Director and Section 151 Officer alongside the 2014/15 Annual Statement of Accounts in September. The External Auditors will review the draft AGS in detail as part of their audit of the Statement of Accounts for 2014/15. The AGS will be updated in September to reflect any governance changes that have occurred since this report.
- 1.3 Internal Audit plan to review and update the AGS Action Plan in line with the Corporate Risk Register review process, reporting the results of its review at the same time as the Corporate Risk Register update. This will ensure the Action Plan remains up-to-date and reflective of the control environment within the Council throughout the year.
- 1.3 The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 also specify that the AGS is considered by "the organisation itself, or a Committee of the organisation", and this requirement is being met by this submission to the Audit Committee.

#### 2. Draft AGS

2.1 The draft AGS describes the Council's governance framework and the review process. It then sets out, in the appended action plan, significant issues regarding the governance and internal control environment which were identified in previous years and those identified in-year during 2014/15, together with details of improvement action which has taken place and the issue's status at this time. In determining the issues to disclose, in the absence of specific AGS guidance, account has been taken of the previous Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy (CIPFA) guidance on the Statement on Internal Control (the forerunner to the AGS). This includes considering whether the

#### issues had:

- seriously prejudiced or prevented achievement of a principal objective
- resulted in the need to seek additional funding to allow it to be resolved or had resulted in a significant diversion of resources from another aspect of the business
- a material impact on the accounts
- been considered as significant for this purpose by the audit committee or equivalent
- attracted significant public interest or had seriously damaged the reputation of the Council
- resulted in formal action being taken by the Section 151 Officer/Monitoring Officer
- received significant adverse commentary in external inspection reports and which the Council has not been able to address in a timely manner.
- 2.2 The Statement describes the Council's key governance arrangements including:
  - the Constitution
  - strategic and decision making governance
  - operational governance
  - assurance mechanisms in place to ensure governance arrangements are functioning appropriately
- 2.3 As with 2013/14, the review process examined a wide range of internal control and governance processes. It included:
  - meeting with Senior Management and other key officers within the Council, where appropriate
  - obtaining written assurances with respect to specific governance areas
  - obtaining and reviewing all External Audit and Inspection reports, Internal Audit reports and management monitoring reports
  - a review of all corporate risk register items
  - · the scrutiny and evaluation of the information obtained
  - determining significant control issues within the definition agreed for disclosure
  - consultation with the Audit Committee, Mayor and SLT.
- 2.4 In addition to enabling the drafting of the AGS, this process has provided an opportunity for the review and evaluation of control issues throughout the Council. Where appropriate, the issues identified in the AGS will be included in the Corporate Risk Register (CRR), and progress on the actions to address the issues will be monitored and reported to SLT and Cabinet accordingly.
- 2.5 In summary the matters arising in the Statement and appended Action Plan are as follows:

Issues which arose in previous years which have now been sufficiently resolved to no longer be considered AGS items :

Item 1:Capital Projects

- Item 6:The use of Consultants/Interims
- Item 8: Policies and Procedures

Issues identified in previous years which although having made good progress during the year, remain an AGS item until full resolution has been achieved and/or the impact/benefits are fully realised:

- o Item 2: MetroBus
- o Item 3: Performance Management
- o Item 4: The Change Programme
- o Item 7: Scrutiny Function
- o Item 10: Commercial Contract Management
- Item 11: Quality of Service/Complaints System

Issues identified in previous years and in current year which have an element of remedial action in place, but progress is not yet sufficient to reduce the level of risk:

- Item 5: Financial Governance
- Item 9: Partnership Governance
- Item 12: Schools Financial Governance
- Item 13: Organisational Knowledge/Capacity (New for 2014/15)
- Item 14: Arm's Length Trading (New for 2014/15)
- 2.7 Following its review of the 2013/14 AGS, the Council's external auditors concluded that the arrangements for preparing the AGS were sufficiently robust and no adjustments were required to its presentation. It is anticipated that the 2014/15 AGS preparation will similarly satisfy the external auditor's expectations.

#### **Other Options Considered**

None necessary

#### **Risk Assessment**

The need to maintain a robust Risk, Governance and Control environment is pivotal to the effective operations of the Council's functions, a statutory requirement of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 and an implied requirement of the External Auditor.

Failure to maintain and where required improve this environment will not only impact on the proper practices of the Council, but will also be in breach of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 and may attract an adverse opinion from the External Auditor.

Disclosures of significant control weaknesses in a public statement could result in adverse press coverage. Hence the wording of the disclosures has been discussed with the SLT to minimise this risk. The document has also been discussed with Corporate Communications prior to publication.

#### **Equalities Impact Assessment**

None necessary for this report

#### **Environmental Impact Assessment**

None necessary for this report

#### **Legal and Resource Implications**

**Legal** - none sought.

**Resources** - none arising from this report, however resource implications may arise if the Council fails to maintain a robust control, risk and governance environment.

#### **Appendices**


Appendix A - Draft Annual Governance Statement and Action Plan 2014/15

#### LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Background Papers Audit Committee Terms of Reference
Risk Management Policy Statement
CIPFA guidance on the requirements re the SIC.
CIPFA/SOLACE Guidance on the Annual Governance
Statement



# ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT 2014-15



## FORWARD:

By Head of Paid Service and Section 151 Officer.



#### 1 Scope of Responsibility

- 1.1 Bristol City Council has a duty under the Local Government Act 2000 to make arrangements to secure continuous improvement in the way in which its functions are exercised, having regard to a combination of economy, efficiency and effectiveness. Additionally, the Council is responsible for ensuring that its business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and is used economically, efficiently and effectively.
- 1.2 In discharging these overall responsibilities, the Council is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements for the governance of its affairs, and facilitating the effective exercise of its functions, including arrangements for risk management and internal control systems.
- 1.3 This statement explains how the Council endeavours to deliver good governance and reviews the effectiveness of these arrangements. It also meets the requirements of regulation 6 of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015, which require the Council to publish an Annual Governance Statement (AGS) in accordance with proper practice in relation to internal control.
- 1.4 This Statement should be read in conjunction with the Council's Code of Corporate Governance (the Code), which was approved and adopted by the Council in January 2009 and is revised and approved annually by the Audit Committee
- 1.5 The Code provides in depth details of the framework the Council has in place to meet the six core principles of effective governance, as prescribed by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) / Society Of Local Authority Chief Executives (SOLACE) guidance 'Delivering Good Governance in Local Government'. A copy of the Code is available via the above link or on the Council's website.

#### 2 The Council's Governance Framework

- 2.1 The governance framework comprises the systems, processes, culture and values by which the Council is directed and controlled, and by which it accounts to, engages with and leads the community. It includes arrangements to monitor the achievement of the Council's strategic objectives/ Mayor's Priorities and to consider whether this has led to the delivery of appropriate, cost-effective services.
- 2.2 The system of internal control is a significant part of that framework and is designed to manage risk to a reasonable level. It cannot eliminate all risk of failure to achieve policies, aims and objectives and can therefore only provide reasonable, and not absolute, assurance of effectiveness.
- 2.3 The system of internal control encompasses the policies, processes, tasks, behaviours and other aspects of the authority that, taken together facilitate its effective and efficient operation by enabling it to respond appropriately to significant business, operational, financial, compliance and other risks to achieving the Council's aims and objectives.

#### 3 The Constitution

- 3.1 The Council has a Constitution which sets out how the Council operates, how decisions are made and the procedures which are followed to ensure that these are efficient, transparent and accountable. Some of these processes are required by law, while others have been decided by the Council. The Constitution is available to the public on the Council's website.
- 3.2 The Constitution is amended annually to address anomalies in legislation since the last review and to clarify the roles and responsibilities of the Full Council, the elected Mayor and his chosen Cabinet. Further amendments to the Constitution have been recommended in the recent review of the Council's Scrutiny function by the Centre for Public Scrutiny and these will be implemented accordingly. (*Please see item 7 in Appendix A*)

#### 4 Strategic and Decision Making Governance

The following details the strategic and decision making governance arrangements in place within the Council, many of which are specifically required by the Constitution:

4.1 The Council approved the 2014/15 Budget and the 2014/15 to 2016/17 Medium Term Financial Strategy in February 2014 as the three year financial framework which was set around the achievement of the Mayor's vision for the City of Bristol whilst at the same time ensuring the Council's resilience from the impact of the required spending cuts.

A further Budget consultation took place in February of 2015 to consider any changes to the 2015/16 proposed budget, the second year of the three year budget framework. The Medium Term Financial Strategy was also rolled forward for a further year taking it to 2017/18.

In 2014/15 the Mayor's Vision/aims for the City of Bristol were finalised as follows:

- Healthy and Caring Bristol
- Keeping Bristol Working & Learning
- Keeping Bristol Moving
- Empowered City

- Building Successful Places
- Global Green Capital
- Vibrant Bristol
- Active Citizens
- 4.2 Achievement of the Mayors vision is monitored through a series of performance indicators which have been mapped to each of the 8 themes and are reported quarterly to senior management. However, further work is needed to ensure the right measures are in place in order to support the achievement of the Mayors objectives. (Please see item 3 of Appendix A)
- 4.3 The Cabinet (or Executive) is made up of the Mayor and Executive Members, known as Assistant Mayors. The makeup of the Cabinet is decided by the Mayor. The current Mayor's policy has been to invite councillors from all parties who are represented on the council into his Cabinet. The current Cabinet has four Assistant Mayors, a Deputy Mayor and the Mayor.

- 4.4 The Mayor takes all key decisions in consultation with Cabinet on the basis of reports from officers and/or where appropriate in consultation with one of the Council's strategic Boards namely the Bristol Property Board, the Health & Wellbeing Board or Learning City Partnership. The Mayor's Forward Plan of key decisions to be taken over the next four months is published on the Council's website.
- 4.5 Cabinet meetings where key decisions are made by the Mayor are open to the public and available via web cast through the Council's website. All reports are considered for legal and financial issues before submission to Members.
- 4.6 The Council appoints a number of committees to discharge the Council's regulatory and scrutiny responsibilities. All committees have clear terms of reference and work programmes which set out their roles and responsibilities. These include:
  - Overview and Scrutiny Management Board, together with four Scrutiny Committees each of which oversee the four directorates within the Council.
  - Regularity Committees such as:
    - Planning
    - Public Safety & Protection
    - Public Rights of Way and Greens
  - Statutory Committees Licensing
  - Other Committees such as:
    - Human Resources
    - Audit Committee
    - Police & Crime Panel
    - Selection Committee
    - Appeals Committee
- 4.7 During the year the Council sought a further review by the Centre for Public Scrutiny who previously conducted a review of the Council's Scrutiny Commissions to enhance the effective challenge of policy development and Mayoral decisions in 2013/14. The recent review made further recommendations for improvements some of which have already been put in place, whilst others will be progressed during 2015/16. (*Please see item 7 in Appendix A*)
- 4.8 There is a Strategic Leadership Team (SLT) made up of the City Director and Strategic Directors that meets each week to discuss matters which are of strategic and operational importance to the Council.
- 4.9 An Executive Board consisting of SLT, the Mayor and Cabinet Members meet regularly to discuss strategic issues, performance reports and matters arising, thereby ensuring good communication and coherent vision.
- 4.10 A comprehensive decision pathway is in place within the Council in order to enhance transparent and efficient decision making throughout the Council's operations.

#### 5 Operational Governance

The following details the operational governance arrangements in place within the Council, some of which are specifically required by the Constitution:

- 5.1 The Council has a Mayor's scheme of delegation in place within the Constitution which is available on the Council's web site.
- 5.2 To support the achievement of the Mayor's vision and aims for Bristol, the Council engages with the local community through 14 neighbourhood partnerships which provide an opportunity for local communities to have a greater say in the way services and local issues are managed by the Council and its partner agencies.
- 5.3 The Council is also involved in a number of other partnerships many of which are strategic partnerships which are governed by its Constitution, however for partnerships which are not strategic there remains a need for governance and control in order to ensure the Council is achieving synergy between its partners in fulfilling the Mayor's vision for Bristol. Work commenced in this area in 2014/15 and will continue in 2015/16. (*Please see item 9 in Appendix A*)
- 5.4 The Council's Change Programme, which was instigated to ensure the resilience of Council services whilst absorbing the impact of the imposed austerity measures, has continued throughout the year. An audit review of the programme found the governance and control framework to be good, with a strong decision making process in place. (*Please see item 4 in Appendix A*)
- 5.5 The Council utilises, manages and stores large amounts of data on various different databases and servers. The Council is heavily dependent on managing and maintaining the control environment within its computerised systems, especially with the aim of delivering many of our services digitally. The implementation of a new Finance system has had a positive impact on the resolution of many of the issues which were identified previously with regard to financial governance and control, however there is still more that can be done to improve the control environment further, particularly with the introduction of a Human Resources/Payroll module to the system. (*Please see item 5 in Appendix A*)
- 5.6 Policies and procedures are in place to ensure that expenditure is incurred lawfully and that best value is obtained. A full review of the adequacy and appropriateness of all strategies, policies and procedure has been completed during the year. All documents have been pulled together into one place which is accessible by all staff. Policies, strategies and procedures have been aligned to the Corporate Plan, and where appropriate to specific directorates where they have responsibility for those documents. The update of Financial Regulations remains in progress with a final document expected by end August 2015. (*Please see items 5,8 & 12 in Appendix A*)

- 5.7 The Council has processes and policies in place to ensure that all information collected, processed and used by the Council is held safely and securely. Breaches in information security are monitored by the dedicated Senior Information Risk Owner.
- 5.8 Members' and Officers' behaviour is governed by Codes of Conduct which include the requirement for a Declarations of Interest to be completed by all Members annually, by all new staff, and biennially by staff working in sensitive areas or paid above a certain grade.
- 5.9 The Council encourages a free and open culture and is committed to high standards of honesty. The Council will not tolerate any form of malpractice and recognises that employees have an important part to play in reporting any concerns. The Council has a Whistleblowing Policy which encourages and enables employees to raise concerns internally within the Council, rather than overlooking the problem or raising the concern outside.
- 5.10 The Council adopts a zero tolerance approach to fraud and corruption within its services. The following policies and procedures are in place to ensure all appropriate measures are taken to prevent, detect and investigate suspected fraud/irregularities.
  - Anti-Fraud, Corruption and Bribery Strategy/Policy
  - Whistle Blowing policy
  - Anti-Money Laundering policy
  - Gifts and Hospitality policy and register
  - Pecuniary Interest policy and register
  - Code of Conduct for Members and Employees including ethical behaviour
  - Information Security policy

Additionally, the Council has a dedicated Corporate Investigations Team within its internal audit function.

Having implemented all of the above the Council is satisfied that it has adopted a response that is appropriate for its fraud and corruption risks and commits to maintain its vigilance to tackle fraud.

- 5.11 The Council has a Performance Management and Development Scheme which manages performance and development needs for each member of staff. The existing process is currently under review with a planned redesign in order to ensure that it is fit for purpose into the future. The review will include redesigning the process to ensure that individual performance measures and targets are more clearly aligned to roles and the Council's priorities. (*Please see item 13 in Appendix A*)
- 5.12 The Council recognises the value of well trained and competent people in effective service delivery, and as such aims to ensure that Members and managers within the Council have the skills, knowledge and capacity they need to discharge their responsibilities.

- 5.13 The Monitoring Officer has responsibility for maintaining the Constitution, ensuring lawfulness and fairness of decision making, providing legal advice and conducting investigations. The Monitoring Officer leads the legal department who ensure legal compliance by the Council in its activities; any concerns are raised with senior management for resolution.
- 5.14 In compliance with the 'Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework', the Council's financial management arrangements generally conform with the requirements of the CIPFA Statement of the role of the Chief Financial Officer and Head of Internal Audit in Local Government (2010). More detailed compliance information is provided below:
  - The Service Director: Finance and the Head of Legal Services although not members of SLT have an open invitation to attend when necessary and receive all papers. Both have a direct reporting line to the City Director in relation to governance issues. Both also attend Cabinet and Cabinet briefings.
  - The Council's six statutory officers meet regularly to discuss matters arising that are relevant to their statutory role. Meeting attendees are:
    - Head of Paid Service
    - S151 Officer
    - Monitoring Officer
    - Director of Public Health
    - Director of Children's and Adult Services
    - The Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO)
  - The Service Director: Finance is the Council's Chief Financial Officer and is a
    professionally qualified accountant. He is the Council's lead officer for the
    Audit Committee and attends all meetings as well as reporting regularly to
    the appropriate Scrutiny Committee. Although he is not a Strategic Director
    he is the Council's Financial lead and as such is fully involved in all material
    business decisions and planning processes, and contributes to all key
    decision reports to SLT and Cabinet.
  - The Chief Internal Auditors (job share) are senior managers within the Council with regular engagement with the Audit Committee. They have an operational reporting line to the Service Director: Finance and a functional reporting line to the Audit Committee. They are also able to report to SLT, the City Director, the elected Mayor and to other Directors as required.
  - The Chief Internal Auditors are both qualified accountants with many years of Local Authority Internal Audit experience and they provide an Internal Audit Service which is professional, proficient and adequately resourced (as concluded by the Audit Committee in their Annual Report). The service capacity and resources are continually under review to ensure that they are fit for purpose.

#### 6 Assurance Mechanisms

The following assurance mechanisms are built into the governance framework to ensure that the framework is operating as required:

- 6.1 The decision-making process is scrutinised by a scrutiny function which holds the Mayor to account and undertakes some pre-decision and policy development work. The Business Change Scrutiny Committee is responsible for maintaining an overview of service and financial performance, efficiency and effectiveness.
- 6.2 The Council has an audit committee comprising elected and Independent Members that reports directly to full council in line with best practice. The Audit Committee meet throughout the year to provide independent assurance to the Council in relation to the effectiveness of the risk management framework, the internal control environment, and any issues relating to the conduct of Members. The Committee met seven times during the year, receiving regular reports on risk management, treasury management, internal control and governance matters.
- 6.3 The Council has a complaints procedure and where appropriate obtains feedback from service users to ensure an acceptable quality of service is provided. A new customer relation system has recently been launched which will deal with Statutory and non-Statutory complaints and Freedom of Information (FOI) Requests all in one place. The FOI requests and non-statutory complaints module have been launched and are accessible through the Council's website, with the statutory complaints module due to be launched in the Autumn. (Please see item 11 in Appendix A).
- 6.4 SLT is responsible for considering overall financial and performance management and receives comprehensive reports on a quarterly basis. They are also responsible for initiating corrective action in relation to risk and internal control issues.
- 6.5 The Corporate Risk Register (CRR) has been extensively reviewed over the past year by the Extended Leadership Team in order to ensure it is focussed on the highest risks to the Council upon which it can have a positive impact, whilst still maintaining a watching brief on the risks, while high, cannot be fully mitigated by the Council alone. Directorate risk registers have also been reviewed utilising the same approach and taking into account the new structure within the Council. The Corporate risk register will continue to be reviewed by the Audit Committee on a six monthly basis, with the directorate risk registers to be considered/challenged quarterly by the appropriate Scrutiny committee and annually by the Audit Committee.
- 6.6 An Internal Audit Service is in place which provides an independent and objective assurance service to senior management, the Council as a whole and specifically the Audit Committee. They complete a programme of reviews throughout the year to provide an opinion on the internal control, risk management and governance arrangements. In addition, the Service undertakes fraud investigation and proactive fraud detection work which includes reviewing the control environment in areas where fraud or irregularity has occurred. Significant weaknesses in the control environment identified by Internal Audit are reported to senior management, the appropriate Cabinet Member and the Audit Committee.

6.7 An External Audit function is in place which reports to senior management and the Audit Committee regarding Value for Money, governance issues and the final accounts including the Annual Audit Letter.

#### 7 Review of Effectiveness

- 7.1 Bristol City Council annually reviews the effectiveness of its governance framework, as detailed in the Bristol Code of Corporate Governance, including the system of internal control. The review of effectiveness is informed by managers within the Council, who have responsibility for the development and maintenance of the governance environment, the work of the internal auditors and by comments made by the external auditors and other inspection agencies.
- 7.2 In addition to the in-year assurance mechanisms detailed above the following yearend reviews of the governance arrangements and the control environment were undertaken:
  - Assurance was obtained from discussion with senior officers who had
    responsibility for the control framework in place during the year, and any
    areas where control weaknesses had resulted in significant issues arising for
    the Directorate are reflected in this Statement and Appendix A together with
    their comments. The draft Statement itself was considered by SLT on 23rd
    June 2015 and the Audit Committee on 10<sup>th</sup> July 2015 and is supported by
    them as an accurate reflection of the governance arrangements in place for
    the year.
  - obtaining assurances from senior management, including the s151 Officer and the Monitoring Officer that internal control and corporate governance arrangements in key areas were in place throughout the year.
  - reviewing external inspection reports received by the Council during the year, the opinion of the Chief Internal Auditor in their annual report to management and an evaluation of management information in key areas to identify any indications that the control environment may not be sound.
  - consulting the Audit Committee regarding any potential issues they felt could indicate a problem with the control environment as a result of their work during the year.

#### 8 Significant Governance Issues

- 8.1 The review process has highlighted a number of significant issues from 2013/14 which have now been resolved, together with a number of issues which whilst good progress has been made further work is required to achieve full resolution. Additionally a small number of in-year issues have been identified, but similarly action has been taken during the year and whilst full resolution has not yet been achieved the direction of travel is positive.
- 8.2 The Action Plan at Appendix A to this statement provides details of each issue and the actions, proposed, in progress and/or concluded at the time of writing this statement.

#### 9 Certification

9.1 To the best of our knowledge, the governance arrangements, as defined above, have been effectively operating during the year with the exception of those areas identified in Appendix A. We propose over the coming year to take steps to address the matters arising to further enhance our governance arrangement. We are satisfied that these steps will address the need for improvements that were identified during the review of effectiveness, and will monitor their implementation and operation as part of our next annual review.

Mayor:	George Ferguson	Date:
City Director:	Nicola Yates	Date:
s151 Officer	Peter Gillet	Date:

#### ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT (AGS) ACTION PLAN UPDATE 2014/15

Each issue has been RAG rated both from an inherent risk and a residual risk prospective, the key to the rating is as follows:

Red - Significant issue immediate action required to resolve

Amber- Remedial action has progressed well, but has not yet fully resolved the issue or the impact/benefits of actions have not yet been fully realised.

Green - Issue resolved, no longer considered a significant governance item

Key: Risk is increased



Risk remains the same Risk is decreased





	Matters Arising	Inherited	<b>Current Risk</b>	Action taken or to be undertaken in 2014/15, and	Action Updated 2014/15	Action update May 2015/16	Further Action to be taken 15/16	Target Dates
		Risk		Responsible Officer	·			· ·
				· ·				
Item No								
	In 2012/13							
	Capital projects						_	
	A number of significant programmes had			The Capital Programme Board continues to enhance	Quarterly budget monitors and monthly flash reports are	Quarterly reports now going to cabinet showing the progress	No further action required. No longer considered	
(CRR 4,6)	slipped in terms of expected timescales and			control and challenge over the capital programme	provided to SLT, scrutiny and cabinet. These reports	on the Councils overall position and capital budgets.	a significant risk.	
	costs, and have not yet been delivered.			which is fully set out in the Medium Term Financial	provide details of the revenue and capital budgets, treasury			
				Strategy (MTFS). The Board will be paying particular	management activity, the reserves and bad debt position.			
				attention to deep dive assessments and ensuring that				
				budget managers have the ability to appropriately				
				forecast capital project spend. Target Date: January 2015				
				Date. January 2015				
					DO DOW #			
					RO = P Gillett			
					The Capital Programme Board, consisting of the Strategic	A Decision Pathway is now in place the aim is to enhance		
					Leadership Team and senior officers from Finance and	transparency and efficient decision making. The Capital		
					Place, met frequently to ensure capital investment is effectively prioritised to programmes and projects aimed at	Programme Board form a clear part of the decision making process. The Board meets on a monthly basis.		
					delivering and maintaining a sustainable infra-structure.	process. The board meets on a monthly basis.		
					Meetings to be incorporated into the meeting pulse which			
					will allow for regular monthly meetings.			
					RO = Strategic Director - Place			
					_			
					A Capital clinic was held in December 2014 to reassess			
					the Capital commitment and Project slippage. Each project			
					was reviewed to deliver the latest 2014/15 out-turn forecast. Current year underspends were carried forward to			
					future years without impeding the total project cost			
					expectation.			
					CAPOSICION.			
					The output report was in the form of an action plan for			
					monitoring going forward, with a summary of the proposed			
					changes presented to the Business Change Scrutiny Board			
					on 12 <sup>th</sup> January 2015.			
					Roll out of budget monitoring on projects so Project	Reports are provided to each Capital Board meeting and		
					Managers can forecast going forward.	posted on Alfresco.		
					Target Date – 31/3/15			
	Matra Dua (PDT) project				RO – Service Director - Finance			
2	MetroBus (BRT) project- Significant issues identified in project			Planning permission for all routes was approved by				
	including:			the Development Committees in August 2014.As this	Legal agreements with partner authorities are in place for			
				is an ongoing major programme, monitoring will	the MetroBus programme.			
				continue going forward.				
	Funding gap				The Orat 2014 Orbital argential (IS 16 II II II	Although all finance and legal agreements are in place for	A review of the transparency of decision making	31st March 2016
					The Sept 2011 Cabinet report identified funding for the	the metro bus there is an issue regarding transparency	is planned by Internal Audit for 2015/16.	
			1		MetroBus. This was from Bristol Futures and from Local Transport Plan and Community Infrastructure Levy, in	around the decision making process.		
(CRR4)			_		addition to the 2014/15 Mayor's budget the remaining			
					funds were identified from prudential borrowing. This then			
1					met the total funding requirement for this project.			
1								
	Governance arrangements				Initial work on the refresh of the Joint Local Transport Plan	An initial refresh was done two years ago. A West of		
					has commenced. The current plan runs until early next year.	England Joint Transport Strategy Study has now started which is running in parallel with an update of the four core		
					year.	strategies. The aim is for an updated transport strategy and		
						core strategy.		
	Damage to Council reputation				RO= Strategic Director, Place			

	Matters Arising	Inherited	Current Risk	Action taken or to be undertaken in 2014/15, and	Action Updated 2014/15	Action update May 2015/16	Further Action to be taken 15/16	Target Dates
	-	Risk		Responsible Officer				
Item No								
	Performance Management/reporting  Lack of timely performance, financial risk				Good progress has been made in mapping performance	Further progress has been made in mapping the existing	Plans for each of the 8 corporate themes	
(CIXIX 3	information to enable effective decision making.			A review of the existing performance indicators is currently underway with a view to more closely	indicators to the nine Corporate Plan themes.	performance indicators to the Corporate Themes. However, further work is needed to ensure the relevancy of the	contained in the corporate plan have been developed, signed off by SLT and are being	
	g.			aligning the indicators with the Council's strategic objectives and Mayor's vision for Bristol.		indicators.	monitored by Scrutiny, the most recent report taken on 26th June 2015	
	Improvement needed in compliance with the performance management framework.			Target date: Ongoing throughout year	A report detailing performance to the end of quarter three which captures progress of performance against each of	A report detailing performance went to the scrutiny committee at the end of February 2015 and details of the		
					the Corporate Plan themes is currently being drafted for presentation to the Strategic Leadership Team mid-February then onto Scrutiny at the end of February 2015.  Complete	report can be found in the attached below.		
				Service Director: Policy, Strategy & Communications	Complete	https://www.bristol.gov.uk/committee/2015/sc/sc041/0226_1 0.pdf	Service Director: Policy, Strategy & Communication	
				Service Director, Finance	Target date: February 2015			
4	The Change Programme				Service Director: Policy, Strategy & Communication			
				The formal business case for the Change	Good progress – Project phase 1 moves (out of City Hall	An audit was completed of the change Programme and it	A financial realisation and benefit review to be	31st July 2015
(CRR2)	(CP) is a consolidation of a number of projects. Managed as a series of separate largely directorate led programmes.		1	Programme was presented to the Cabinet in July 2014.	and into Temple/Park view etc.) are now complete. Phase two moves are being planned and will need continued leadership by Strategic Directors to focus on the necessary business change.	was found that a good system of Governance and Internal control was in place. The Audit review did not include the financial benefits realisation.	carried out by Internal Audit. RO = Chief Internal Auditor	
	CP is expected to deliver more than half of the required budget savings; therefore, failure			Delivery is still in early stages, but key restructure activity is on track to deliver majority of the net target	Progress - Infrastructure is in place for delivery of digital services – demo'd by development of residents parking			
	to deliver projected benefits could have severe impact on the Council's ability in achievement of long term corporate			savings for 2014/15 albeit future year targets increase. Lessons learned are being applied to the next element of the project.	permit digital delivery.			
	objectives.  The impact of having so many change project			ABW has been enhanced to provide sufficient	Change programme projects all have a member of	This area was covered as part of the Change Programme		
	streams in progress at the same time could have a significant impact on the overall control environment, if the environment is not preserved appropriately.			information for Management to monitor and forecast their budgets.	Architecture and Design Team on them to ensure standards are applied and fit within the wider strategic picture.	review and where required an Architect was in place.		
				The programme will be subject to 6 monthly performance and financial reporting to both SLT and Cabinet, with more frequent reporting to the Assistant Mayor for Business Change,	The Applied Service Redesign Programme for Service Improvement aims to ensure that service redesign is led by Service Managers and incorporates use of technology and digital delivery. The programme will ensure Service Managers have the necessary skills to drive continuous improvement of skills. The programme is currently being rolled out with the first cohort commencing 27 <sup>th</sup> January 2015.	Regular meetings are held with Service managers to ensure that the projects are running smoothly, supporting a no surprises principal.	Full roll-out of Applied Programme for Service Improvement to all service managers to ensure services better manage demand and maximise use of joint working in service delivery. Cohort 1 has completed the initial discovery phase and cohort 2 are currently underway. Cohort 3 are scheduled to commence in 2nd week June.	31st July 2015
				The Change Board, chaired by the Strategic Director for Business Change meets weekly to address risks and issues.	There is strong corporate focus on delivering the savings in the financial plan by both SLT and the Change Programme.	To be determined in a Finance realisation review.	RO = Service Director HR	
	In particular the loss of experience and organisational knowledge, as a result of the current corporate restructure, could in the short term impact on the control foundation within the Council.			The Change Programme has already delivered £22m (full year effect) savings for 2014/15 demonstrating the commitment to the project and the delivery and realisation of savings and service improvements.	Restructure was formally closed in October 2014 and performance indicators show a low impact on service delivery.			
				The restructure process has created a defined corporate structure which, alongside an enhanced reporting structure, has more clearly defined roles and responsibilities in the senior management team.	Plans are also in place for a further £12m of savings, primarily through the Category Management work stream.			
				The Corporate restructure completed by 31st October 2014.	Financial implications (savings and costs) of the Change Programme are monitored and reported to the Change Board monthly and will in future be reported to Cabinet 6 monthly  RO = Strategic Director – Business Change & Service			
					Director – Finance.  An Internal Audit review of the Governance arrangements within the Change Programme is currently in progress.	Complete		
					Findings and conclusions to be reported in <b>April 2015</b> .			
					RO – Chief Internal Auditor			

	Matters Arising	Inherited	Current Risk	Action taken or to be undertaken in 2014/15, and	Action Updated 2014/15	Action update May 2015/16	Further Action to be taken 15/16	Target Dates
		Risk		Responsible Officer	Spanis 25 ii i			30. 20.00
Item No								
5	Financial Governance							
	While good progress towards improvement in			Focus on key controls and appropriate reconciliations	Strengthen Financial governance:	Complete		
	internal control of financial systems has been achieved, the new financial system is still not			will be more structured and monitored to enhance control of debt, cash and access.				
	fully operating to the required level in order to							
(CRR6)	fully achieve expected benefits and controls.							
(=::::)				Financial Regulations and Scheme of Delegations	Further refinement of budget holders and cost centres has			
				being revised to reflect the new directorate management structure. Target	continued with the aim of having the full structure complete by year end. (April 2015)			
				Date: System fully functional by 2014/15 year end.				
				Service Director: Finance	Extensive budget management training has been made			
					available to all budget holders.	Complete		
					Review of financial regulations and schemes of delegation		Work on the Financial Regulations is progressing	31st August 2015
				Service Director: Finance	currently remains outstanding. (April 2015)	Outstanding	with a cosmetic review underway.	
					Implement upgrade of main financial system to incorporate HR and Payroll functions. (December 2015)	The HR and Payroll project is on target for a November 2015 implementation following the 2 parallel runs. However, no	Implementation is ongoing.	31st December 2015
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	contingencies on timeframes have been agreed meaning		
						that issues may not be identified or addressed prior to "go live" due to time pressures. The project is currently in the		
						data migration phase with a review date of the transformed		
						data of 25 <sup>th</sup> June. The upgrade of the financial system is on schedule, however it is dependent on timely completion of		
						finance testing, and the capability to get the ContROCC interface tested and into Live within the agreed window of		
						opportunity in July 2015.		
					In year changes to the budget or financial plan are centrally	,	Ongoing	
					controlled and subject to Finance Director Approval. (Ongoing)			
					((-1.5-15)	A number of Financial Audit reviews are currently pending	Financial Service Improvement Plan has been put	•
						conclusion having been issued as interim due to the remedial action required to bring the area up to standard.	in place, to tackle operational matters including the implementation of Internal Audit	September 2015
						3	recommendations. The progress of the plan will	
							be monitored by both the Service Director: Finance and Internal Audit.	
					Complete Directory Finance		DO: Comica Dissator Finance	
6	Consultants/Interims				Service Director: Finance		RO: - Service Director: Finance	
	Lack of transparency in the use of consultants	3			The appointment of Consultants and Interims is subject to		Consultant and Interim costs should be monitored	
	and poor monitoring of their performance.			an integral part of the Council's programme of restructure and that the use of this option is unlikely	rigorous challenge both by Directorate Leadership Teams and the Corporate Panels – People Panel and Non- Pay	Interims and the definition of both has been defined with separate cost codes on ABW . This should ensure that	monthly now that definitions and cost codes have been set up. Consideration should be given to a	
				to change at this time. Work is ongoing as part of the People and Non-Pay Panels remit, to ensure the cost		Consultant /interim costs can be monitored with more	prompt on the NPP Form ( if this is possible) of	
				of this resource/expertise can be appropriately		accuracy.	the definition between the two when application for funding is submitted. <b>RO = Service Director:</b>	
				monitored and controlled.			Finance	
				Target date: Ongoing monitoring Service Director: Finance & Service Director: HR				
					The cost of consultants can be effectively monitored	New cost codes have been set up on ABW to ensure that	A review of spend in this area is planned for the	30th September 2015
					through the Finance system with a separate account code being available; however there is still confusion as to the	consultants and interims can be monitored accurately through the finance system.	2nd quarter of the financial year. This will identify any spend which has been outside of the	
					definition of a Consultant as opposed to an Interim whose		governance framework in place. RO = Service	
					cost should be monitored through payroll. Therefore the effective monitoring Consultants costs remain an issue.		Director: Finance	
					Solventine State Tollian all 10000.			
					New arrangements for the procurement of agency and	The legacy contract with Randstad has been reviewed and a	· ·	31st July 2015
					interim staff will be put in place from 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2015 and monitored through the People Panel. These arrangements	decision taken to move to a neutral vendor framework. The procurement process to establish that new contract is	on the new contract. RO:- Interim Head of Procurement and Service Director: HR	
					will replace the existing contract with Randstad that will	underway and scheduled to be finalised by end of July		
					offer both better value, a wider choice of agencies meaning the requirement for off contract spend is minimised or	2015		
					eliminated and consequently greater ability to control the			
				Service Director: Finance & Service Director: HR	use and cost of interim and agency staffing			
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Service Director. Finance & Service Director: HK	RO – Service Directors: Finance and HR	RO – Service Directors: Finance and HR		
		•	•	•				

	Matters Arising	Inherited		Action taken or to be undertaken in 2014/15, and	Action Updated 2014/15	Action update May 2015/16	Further Action to be taken 15/16	Target Dates
		Risk		Responsible Officer				
Item No								
7	Scrutiny Function							
	The Scrutiny arrangements need improvement to ensure robust challenge of executive decisions by Members.			As with the Constitution, revised arrangements to clarify and enhance the role of scrutiny commissions were agreed by Council at its AGM in June 14. These included:	Complete	A further Scrutiny review was carried out by the CfPS, who made a total of 10 new recommendations, which included changes to the Constitution; adopting additional best practice Scrutiny approaches; and staff/Member training. Details of this can be found in the April OSMB meeting minutes on BCC Website.	The Constitution to be revised to include the two changes raised in the CfPS report. Action Plan to be drawn up to ensure recommendations identified by Centre for Public Scrutiny CfPS) are addressed. RO= Monitoring Office	ТВС
				Board (OSMB) to be strengthened so that it takes ownership of the Scrutiny Work Programme.	Due to the infrequency of the OSMB meetings (Once a quarter) this has not year been fully achieved. All Work Programme amendments are currently proposed by Scrutiny Chairs, who are themselves Members of OSMB, with the OSMB Chair informally approving them. Consideration is being given to how the situation could be improved for 15/16.	A Decision Pathway is now in place the aim of which is to enhance transparency and promote efficiency in the decision making process.	Further develop annual scrutiny work plans into a 3 year rolling plan for policy development and review by Scrutiny.	ТВС
				Corporate visions/objectives as detailed in Corporate Plan to inform the Scrutiny work programme.	Complete	https://www.bristol.gov.uk/committee/2015/sc/sc041/0422 8.pd		
				Corporate Plan considered and signed-off by OSMB and Full Council in July 2014.	Complete	https://www.bristol.gov.uk/committee/2015/sc/sc041/0422 9.pd f		
				A detailed review of the Council's policies and strategies is currently underway to identify relevant policies and procedures and to ensure they effectively align with the Mayor's priorities as set out in the Corporate Plan.	Positive steps have been taken, but further work will be undertaken to better inform the Scrutiny priorities in 15/16.  Target date April 2015 – Policy review	Complete		
				Proposals to reduce the number of Commissions and meetings during the municipal year have been agreed by Full Council. This will see 4 Scrutiny commissions, one for each directorate, each of which will meet 10 times per year. Plus the OSMB meeting four times a year.  Proposals were agreed that will separate out the Mayoral Question Time questions from Members and those of the public which will be dealt with in separate meetings.  Target date: OSMB to review scrutiny arrangements in January 2015.  Monitoring Officer & Members and Service	Complete	A lots of positive work has been undertaken in within the Scrutiny function including new processes which it is reported have improved the Scrutiny function.		
			1	Manager- Policy & Research				
8	Policy and Procedure  Many key Policies and Procedures are out of date and not reflective of recent changes concerning the new financial system and its surrounding opera			Detailed review of financial regulations is underway, and a revised scheme of delegation is being prepared for review and implementation by SLT and Cabinet.	Review delayed, but to be completed by <b>April 2015</b>	All of the Council's Policies, Strategies and procedures have been pulled together in one place and put on Alfresco, each document has been checked with the lead officer for that document and aligned with the Corporate Plan. A library for all strategies, policies and procedures for the Council is in place and separate entry for each of the Directorates that shows the documents they are responsible for.	NFA	
				Target Date: Roll out complete and in use by 31/3/15 Following the restructure of the Performance, Communications and Scrutiny section, a detailed review of the Council's policies and strategies is currently underway to identify relevant policies and procedures and to ensure they effectively align with the Mayor's priorities as set out in the Corporate Plan.	Current review to be completed by <b>April 2015</b>	As part of the Policies, Strategies and Procedures review details have been recorded on Alfresco. The themes (Mayor's priorities) in the Corporate Plan have been linked to, the relevant strategy or policy document.		
				Target date: Ongoing throughout year.		RO = Service Director: Policy, Strategy & Communications		

	Matters Arising	Inherited		Action taken or to be undertaken in 2014/15, and	Action Updated 2014/15	Action update May 2015/16	Further Action to be taken 15/16	Target Dates
Itom No		Risk		Responsible Officer				
Item No								
	Ports and in Course and							
	Partnership Governance Partnership governance outside of strategic partnerships may not be robust which may result in the Council not achieving maximum benefits through partnership working.		$\Leftrightarrow$	Further develop an effective accountability framework for wider partnership working to ensure it is clear what each partner is expected to contribute.	Area under review. Update to be provided closer to target date which has not yet been reached.	Mapping of all of the local partnerships and partnership boards which the Council is a member of is underway including those which are statutory, non-statutory and distinguishing between those which are aiming for 'system change' to ensure that there is complete visibility on the partnerships that the Council has entered into and the	Agreeing a shared suite of measurements with partners that capture both financial value and social value.	31st March 2016
CRR 9						commitments or decision making route for each. This will also provide the opportunity for the Council to review its membership of partnerships to ensure that resources are focused effectively. This work is clarifying the resources required to run partnerships and the decision making route into the 'top tier' of partnerships which are aiming for system change.		
				Target Date: 31/3/15 Identify strategic and other partners and implement an accountability framework. Gain an understanding of differing priorities of each partner.		Blank Risk Register for Partnership risk V1 KR.docx A process for staff to follow before the Council can be committed to a new partnership is being drawn up.	Establishing a written agreement with local health partners on actions that will be taken if evaluation of joint projects shows a need for a shift in funding across the health and social care 'system	31st March 2016
				Target Date: 31/3/15 Develop a stronger evidence base which demonstrates the benefits of partnership working.		All ongoing partnerships will in future be required to develop an annual strategic action plan that demonstrates the links with the Council's Corporate Plan and show achievement against the priorities in the same.	Developing a Partnership Toolkit to be used in conjunction with a policy on Social Value."	31st March 2016
				Target Date: 31/3/15  Members and senior management to meet in informa settings to debate opportunities for partnership working and provide leadership which promotes a culture change towards delivering services jointly wherever possible and appropriate.			RO:- Strategic Planning Manger	
				Target Date: Ongoing The Strategic Leaders Board met for the first time in July 2014. City Director, Service Director – Policy, Strategy and Communication.				
10	Poor contract management and monitoring.		1	Overall review of Commercial Contract Management to be undertaken in order to strengthen control issues. Target date: January 2015 Strategic Leadership Team (SLT)	Work is in progress by Strategic Commissioning & Procurement Services (SC&PS) to develop a model for strategic relationship management which will draw upon the performance data/reports generated by the operational contract management teams to identify best practice, lessons learned and key risks. This data will be used to develop strategic supplier relationship with BCC key/high risk suppliers.  RO = Interim Head of Procurement	Configurable auto alerts for key event dates and reminders     Savings and rebate visibility     Production of reports     Sharing of information on suppliers performance across the Council	Implementation of new e-tendering system. And evidence that active monitoring utilising the system is taking place.	31st December 2015
					A review by Internal Audit of the level and effectiveness of contract monitoring is currently in progress and will be reported on in due course. Outcomes from the review will be shared with the SC&PS.	It is intended that the new system will be in operation by the end of 2015	RO= Interim Head of Procurement	
					RO= Chief Internal Auditor.	Review completed by Internal Audit found that Contract Monitoring was satisfactory for the sample that was examined.	Complete	

	Matters Arising	Inherited	Current Risk	Action taken or to be undertaken in 2014/15, and	Action Updated 2014/15	Action update May 2015/16	Further Action to be taken 15/16	Target Dates
		Risk		Responsible Officer				g
Item No								
44	Quality of Service /Complaints system							
11	Inefficient Complaints system: - Lack of			As part of the change programme a project has been	Initial design and capacity issues delayed the			
	reliable, readily available information of			taking place to review and redesign the corporate	implementation of the new Freedom of Information (FOI)			
	complaints/ compliments received. Failure to learn from mistakes/good practice. Lost		1	non statutory complaints process. The programme includes:	and Complaints system; however implementation is now progressing well.			
	opportunity for improvement . Missed			Authorisation for a new process which will see the				
	opportunity for greater transparency			current 3 stage process change to a 2 stage process to streamline and simplify the process for citizens.				
				This is based on best practice and the national				
				standard - New improved on line experience with better information				
				and a new on line form				
				- Replacement of the current IT system with the new Salesforce Customer Relationship system. This will				
				significantly automate and improve reporting.				
				Replacement of the current IT system with the new	The new system will go live in 3 phases as follows:	FOI system has been launched across Bristol City Council.	Watching Brief to ensure statutory complaints	31st December 2015
				Salesforce Customer Relationship system. This will support a much more robust and informed approach	FOI module will be live for public use from Early     March	The current status of the 3 phases is as follows:-	module is implemented within timescale. RO = Chief Internal Auditor.	
				to identify themes and learning to drive improvement	2. Non-statutory Complaints module is currently being	1. The FOI module went live in April 2015.	omer internal Additor.	
				and service redesign.  - Update to management team planned for June 2014	testing, but will be live by end of May 2015 at the latest.  3. Statutory Complaints module is scheduled for testing	The non-statutory complaints module went live in May		
				- Roll out is planned from Aug – October 2014	shortly and providing no issues are identified will also be	2015.		
					live by end of May 2015	3. The statutory complaints module will go live this Autumn.		
				Target date: October 2014 RO = Service	The new system will improve accuracy of data and provide	It is too to early to say for complaints, although	Report to Audit Committee on new system	31st October 2015
				Manager - Customer Services.	for more effective reporting, allowing for trend analysis and	improvements to FOI performance has been reported. (not	capability and performance.	
					hot spot identification. This in turn will provide greater opportunity for Customer Relations to work with service	tested by IA as yet)		
					providers to identify improvements and action			
					improvements to service provision.			
					The more streamlined non-statutory complaints process		RO = Service Manager - Customer Services	
					will free up resource for increased service focus support, thereby improving the customer experience and ultimately			
					the Council's service offer.			
					Long term proposal is to publish FOI and Complaints data	Under the old system and process only 48% of FOl's were regularly dealt with in the 20 working day timescale. On the		
					in order to aid transparency and potentially reduce the	new system, this has improved to 79%.		
					number of FOI requests and duplicate Complaints.			
					The new system when fully live will:  Identify good practice			
					· Identify opportunities for improvement			
					Provide greater transparency.  RO= Service Manager – Customer Relations			
					Target date: 31 <sup>st</sup> May 2015			

	Matters Arising				Action Updated 2014/15	Action update May 2015/16	Further Action to be taken 15/16	Target Dates
Item No		Risk		Responsible Officer				
12	Schools Corporate Governance							
	A number of issues have arisen with regard to financial governance within schools, these include:  • Failure to maintain an up-to-date Financial Regulations for Schools  • Lack of clarity with regard to the roles and responsibilities of the Trading with Schools function.  • Issues identified by Internal Audit with regard to governance, both finance and operational, within schools.		$\iff$	Appointment of a permanent Trading With Schools Service Manager - Complete Roles and Responsibilities to be refreshed and rolled out. Target Date: End of October 2014 TWS to provide greater support to Schools who are experiencing difficulties. Target Date: Ongoing Service Director for Education Service Director: Finance	A review of the Financial Regulations for locally managed schools is currently underway. The first draft having been reviewed by Internal Audit and whilst progress has been made, there remain a number of areas which still require amendment. A body of work has been completed on the Schools Scheme of Delegations; however this will not be effective until the Financial Regulation update has been completed. Target Date: 31 March 2015  RO = Service Director - Finance Work has been completed in these areas, but it has yet to be evidenced to Internal Audit.  RO= Service Director-Education  Internal Audit is currently reviewing the TWS arrangements, the findings of which will be reported on by 31st March 2015.  RO = Chief Internal Auditor	Financial Regulation remains under review and as such is yet to be issued to Schools.	Financial Regulations review to be completed as a matter of urgency and rolled out to Locally Managed Schools. Training to be provided where appropriate. RO = Service Directors Finance & Education	TBC
				Appointment of a permanent Trading With Schools Service Manager - Complete Guidance for Schools who may be in financial difficulty to be rolled out.  Target Date: End of October 2014 Roles and Responsibilities to be refreshed and rolled out.  Target Date: End of October 2014	Work has been completed in these areas, but it has yet to be evidenced to Internal Audit.  RO= Service Director- Education	This is part of the Scheme for Financing Schools that has just recently been presented to Schools Forum. Scheme available on the Source.	Complete	
				TWS to provide greater support to Schools who are experiencing difficulties.  Target Date: Ongoing	Internal Audit is currently reviewing the TWS arrangements, the findings of which will be reported	IA review has concluded a 'satisfactory' level of assurance for the TWS function itself, however the lack of clarity between the role of TWS and other functions remains.	A SLA between Finance and TwS has now been signed by the Service Director: Finance - however it is yet to be signed by the Service Director: Education and the Service Manager TwS.	TBC
13	Organisational Knowledge/capacity			Service Director for Education Service Director: Finance		The number of Schools reviewed by IA which have failed to demonstrate a satisfactory level of financial governance remains of concern.  RO: - Service Director: Education		
13	Loss of experience skills and resource leading to significant impact on governance framework.			N/A - New matter arising for 2014/15	N/A - New matter arising for 2014/15	Work is ongoing across BCC to address the impact of restructuring and ensure that our workforce has the right skills for the future. HR is engaged in workforce planning and development activity across each Directorate and looking at recruitment and retention, talent management, CPD issues, etc. There are also a number of corporate programmes including the Applied Service Redesign Programme and functional skills that address skills issues across BCC. A commissioned management development offer has been arranged aimed at new managers along with a interim leadership development offer.	Applied Programme - Full implementation	31st July 2015
							Roll out and full utilisation of redesigned individual performance management framework.	31st March 2016
44	Arms Length Trading					RO= Service Director HR.		
14	Conflict of interest and impact on existing governance framework due to Council involvement in wholly owned Limited Companies.			N/A - New matter arising for 2014/15	N/A - New matter arising for 2014/15	Advisory Reports provided to Senior Management on: Dealing with conflicts of Interest and on Financial Implications and Risks.	A detailed draft governance framework for the Energy Company has been considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Board on 26th June - once approved the framework will need to be embedded into the organisation.  A similar framework needs to be set up for the Waste Company	
							Governance review/workshop lead by Legal Services to take place in July to explore the governance framework for Arms length trading and ensure it is robust.	31st July 2015